

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/625,719	07/23/2003	Douglas Perry Boyd	127033	8125
7590 12/15/2004			EXAMINER	INER
Patrick W. Rasche			BRUCE, DAVID VERNON	
Armstrong Teasdale LLP Suite 2600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
One Metropolita		2882		
St. Louis, MO 63102			DATE MAILED: 12/15/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/625,719	BOYD ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
-	David V Bruce	2882			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app					
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status		,			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
	action is non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 14-18 and 34-37 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3,6,7,19-21,24 and 28-33 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 4,5,8-13,22,23 and 25-27 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 08 March 2004 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 20040310.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/625,719 Page 2

Art Unit: 2882

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 28 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. Claim 28 recites the limitation "the intermediate image" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 4. Claim 28 recites the limitation "the generated set" in line 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-3, 6, 7, 19-21, 24, 30, 32, and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated -by Rasche *et al.* US 5,933,006 A. Rasche *et al.* shows all of the features of the instant invention as required by independent claims 1, 19, and 33, including a computer, method, and imaging system for reducing motion artifacts in sequentially scanned images using velocity vectors of pixels or edges (which must inherently be detected by edge recognition) by creating a

warped image grid (raster) (abstract, column 1 lines 5-10, column 2 lines 8-22, and column 3 lines 28-46).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claim 31 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rasche et al. US 5,933,006 A. Rasche shows all of the features of the instant invention except for the use of x-rays produced from electron beam and target. It is well known to use an electron beam and target to create x-rays for use in 3D imaging. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instant invention to use CT imaging instead of MR imaging to be able to create a purely structural image, motivated by the desire to see structure devoid of function.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 9. Claims 14-18 and 34-37 are allowed.
- 10. Claims 4, 5, 8-13, 22, 23, and 25-27 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 11. Claims 28 and 29 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/625,719 Page 4

Art Unit: 2882

12. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: in the subject matter as claimed, claims 4, 5, 14-16, 22, 23 34, and 35 address specific sinogram limitations, claims 8, 16-18, 25, 36, and 37 address time variation of intensity of CT numbers, claims 10-13, and 26-29 address (an intermediate image of the) motion required to blend one image into another image, and claim 9 specifically addresses an mpeg motion predictor. These features are neither shown nor fairly suggested in the prior art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David V Bruce whose telephone number is (571) 272-2487. The examiner can normally be reached on M - Th and alt Fri 8:00 - 4:30 subject to I-Flex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward J Glick can be reached on (571) 272-2490. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David V Bruce Primary Examiner Art Unit 2882